10/3/68

Dear Gary,

IVe finally' had a chance to go over what I have and seem to have gotten. I have and should have sent you and Paul 1179(II) 295-8, what I call the "Watley" report; 1141, ~~ppx~~ 56, 372, control 1011 (also 891 and 988, which you didn't ask about). Separately I had obtained CD 20, 7-8, 24-5.

This means I seem not to have 1120, 1179(II) 100-4;1484, 203; 1485, 4-6,11; 131, 2 pp., from Hal's list.

Because of the recent greater than average confusion, it is possible that I had put these away after making copies and sending them to you and Paul. In that event, he will have copies. I sent him a copy of your letter on this to me and my reply. If he has more than one copy, of if he got no copy, he will know. If he got no copy, it is certain I did not get them. I kept these documents to themselves because I was first running out of paper, then out of it, and as I copied them I separated them, putting those for each of you, at that time, in envelopes addressed to each. Unless letters to both of you were intercepted.

Before writing the Archives, I suggest you hear from Paul, to whom I am also sending this.

If and when you get them please send me copies.

My schedule, if I didn't give it to you, is 10/21 to SF, thence to LA and San Diego, leaving approx p.m. 11/5 for N.O. for about a week. One of the things I hope to start looking further into is the literature distribution. Paul has recently sent me copies of some report he had that I hadn't seen. You realize, this makes the Martin thing more important. Try to get the affidavit and speak to him, on tape, to see if he has any recollection that has returned. If you have any other hunches or suggestions, please let me know, of, if anything I should have, please send as soon as possible.

You will hear from my friend Ian Macdonald, of the Washington staff of the London Times. He is a fine fellow. I am fond of him. I suggested he phone you when I learned he is returning to Minneapolis, where he spent some time as a student on a fellowship. He will bring me anything you might want. The dub of the Martin film is one suggestion. He should have that by now.

When I am in N.O. I will be trying to get a dub of the WDSU 8/16/63 footage. If I do, we'll have work for Patsy! WWL also had some, I now learn. There are some still the FBI used the Commission did not, hence I assume they had good reason. Also reason for my interest.

There is now no doubt the Oswald-rifle pictures were doctored. I have a version with a woman in the background that has been touched out of all official copies. I'm having a copy made for Fred. Perhaps I'll ask Patsy instead to see what he can do with it. Meanwhile, I'll trying to get an earlier-generation copy.

Hastily,